

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/542,737	SERNIUK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tim Heitbrink	1722	

All Participants:

(1) Tim Heitbrink.

(2) Richard Musgrave.

Date of Interview: 14 August 2007

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

4,6,8,10,11,13,15,17,18,20

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Musgrave agreed to the changes as found in the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Tim Heitbrink

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)